

Your City - Your Plan

Submitted - 07/02/2016

The Bradford Waste Management Development Plan Document

Client

Title Mrs
First Name* [REDACTED]
Last Name* Whitaker
Job Title (if applicable)
Organisation (if applicable)
House Number/Name* [REDACTED]
Street name* [REDACTED]
Locality (e.g. Haworth) [REDACTED]
Town/City* Silsden
Postcode* BD20 [REDACTED]
Email Address* [REDACTED]
Telephone Number

What comment do you wish to make?

A specific site/policy/section in the plan

Details

Site reference from the document or Map (e.g. WM5)* WM3
Do you agree with the proposed use of the site* No

Is the plan sound?

Do you consider the the plan to be sound?* No

Which test of soundness are you comments about?

Postively Prepared
Effective
Justified
Consistency with National Policy

Please set out why you think the plan is unsound ?

From 13/04217/FUL Report of the Assistant Director (Planning, Transportation & Highways) to the meeting of Regulatory and Appeals Committee held on 3 April 2014

Transport Assessment 9:30

"The site will be accessed off the A650 Airevalley Road with the number of HGV movements at a maximum of 70 HGV movements per day, averaging 6.6 movements per hour. On Saturdays 38 HGV movements, averaging 8.4 movements per hour. The access will be re-configured and highway improvements made."

I understand that conditions (20, 21, 22 and 26) were imposed on access improvements and acceleration and deceleration lanes to be added to the A650. However there is no mention of how the extra HGV traffic will affect the flow of traffic on the A650.

The HGV Vehicles will be required to leave left down the dual carriage way towards Keighley and will be moving between 7am and 6pm Monday to Friday, which covers both rush hours and the 38 HGV's adding to Saturday traffic up until 1pm, when there is extra traffic for the sports fields opposite the site.

Traffic is already heavy with heavy tail backs in this area due to the roundabout junction slowing traffic. Sometimes it takes 20 minutes to get through this area and the extra traffic generated by this power station will add to this problem.

Please set out what change(s) you would consider necessary to make the Plan sound?

I can not see how the number of HGV vehicles accessing this site could be altered.

I suggest that -

* an alternative site is found with better access

or

* an alternative method of delivering waste to the site is found.

There is a railway line adjacent to the site.

Is the Plan legally compliant?

Legally compliant* Don't Know

Which part of the legal compliance is your comment about?

- | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| Local Development Scheme | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Statement of Community Involvement | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Sustainability Appraisal Report | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Consultation of appropriate Statutory Bodies | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Town & Country Planning (Local Planning) Regulations | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Duty to Cooperate | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Not sure/other | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Please give details of why you consider the plan is not clear on legality ?

Taking part in the public examination

Your comments will be taken into account by the Planning Inspector. Would you like to take part in the forthcoming Public Examination?

No

Future updates

Please select from below if you would like to be notified of any of the following?

The Submission of the Plan(s) for Public Examination



Furure adoption

